

# Latitudinal patterns in the phenological responses of leaf colouring and leaf fall to climate change in Japan

Hideyuki Doi<sup>1\*</sup> and Mayumi Takahashi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>LAFWEDY, Faculty of Agriculture, Ehime University, 3-5-7, Tarumi, Matsuyama, 790-8566, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Graduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Kita 9 Nishi 9 Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-8589, Japan

## ABSTRACT

**Aim** To estimate the potential effect of global climate change on the phenological responses of plants it is necessary to estimate spatial variations at larger scales. However, previous studies have not estimated latitudinal patterns in the phenological response directly. We hypothesized that the phenological response of plants varies with latitude, and estimated the phenological response to long-term climate change using autumn phenological events that have been delayed by recent climate change.

#### Location Japan.

**Methods** We used a 53-year data set to document the latitudinal patterns in the climate responses of the timing of autumn leaf colouring and fall for two tree species over a wide range of latitudes in Japan (31 to 44 N). We calculated single regression slopes for leaf phenological timing and air temperature across Japan and tested their latitudinal patterns using regression models. The effects of latitude, time and their interaction on the responses of the phenological timings were also estimated using generalized linear mixed models.

**Results** Our results showed that single regression slopes of leaf phenological timing and air temperature in autumn were positive at most stations. Higher temperatures can delay the timing of leaf phenology. Negative relationships were found between the phenological response of leaves to temperature and latitude. Single regression slopes of the phenological responses at lower latitudes were larger than those at higher latitudes.

**Main conclusions** We found negative relationships between leaf phenological responsiveness and latitude. These findings will be important for predicting phenological timing with global climate change.

#### **Keywords**

Air temperature, ginkgo, Japan, latitude, maple, phenology, spatial variation.

\*Correspondence: Hideyuki Doi, LAFWEDY, Faculty of Agriculture, Ehime University, 3-5-7, Tarumi, Matsuyama, 790-8566, Japan. E-mail: h-doi@agr.ehime-u.ac.jp

#### INTRODUCTION

Recent changes in climate such as increases in air temperature have been found to affect plant phenological events such as earlier onset of flowering and leaf unfolding in the spring and delayed onset of leaf colouring and leaf fall in the autumn (e.g. Menzel *et al.*, 2001, 2006a; Walther *et al.*, 2002; Parmesan & Yohe, 2003; Root *et al.*, 2003; Gordo & Sanz, 2005; Wolfe *et al.*, 2005).

To estimate the potential effect of global climate change on the phenological response of a plant it is necessary to estimate spatial variations at larger scales (i.e. global and continental scales). Root *et al.* (2003) analysed global patterns of phenological responses to recent climate changes. Their meta-analysis suggested that the mean phenological shifts between latitudes 50 and 72 N were larger than those between 32 and 50 N. However, Menzel *et al.* (2006a) suggested that the phenological responses of plants to temperature in warmer countries were stronger than those in colder countries of Europe.

This discrepancy indicates that the responses of phenological events in plants to climate change, including increases in air temperature, may vary with latitude. Most previous studies, however, did not estimate latitudinal patterns in phenological responses, although phenological responses at sites with similar latitudes have been analysed. Data analyses of phenological responses as a function of latitude are still uncommon, and the phenological response to latitude remains one of the big questions unanswered by previous studies (Peñuelas *et al.*, 2002; Menzel, 2003; Menzel *et al.*, 2006a).

We hypothesized that the phenological response of plants varies with latitude, and estimated the phenological response to long-term climate change using autumn phenological events that have been delayed by recent climate change. The phenological response of trees in Germany was determined by temperature in several months preceding the phenological event (Estrella & Menzel, 2006). Changes in leaf colouring and leaf fall during the past several decades have been similar to changes in spring phenology, such as flowering and leaf unfolding in the Mediterranean region (Peñuelas *et al.*, 2002). In Japan, the timing of leaf fall clearly changed with latitude (e.g. Matsumoto *et al.*, 2003).

The aim of the present study was to estimate latitudinal patterns in leaf phenological responses to climate change in autumn. Using a 53-year data set for the phenological timing of leaf colouring and leaf fall of trees, we estimated the latitudinal patterns in phenological responses to air temperature. In addition, the relationships between phenological events and climate change have been principally studied in North America and Europe (e.g. Walther et al., 2002; Menzel, 2003; Root et al., 2003; Gordo & Sanz, 2005; Menzel et al., 2006a,b; Richardson et al., 2006), while published studies in Asian regions are still very limited (but see Chen & Pan, 2002; Matsumoto et al., 2003; Doi, 2007; Doi & Katano, 2008; Doi et al., 2008). Moreover, studies of the autumn phenological response are limited (but see Estrella & Menzel, 2006; Menzel et al., 2006a; Richardson et al., 2006). The present study focused on the phenological response to recent climate change in autumn across Japan. It also contributes to the understanding of patterns of phenological change in Asia and of autumn phenological responses.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Phenology data set

The Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA) monitored leaf colouring and leaf fall dates across Japan for *Ginkgo biloba* and *Acer palmatum* from 1953 to 2005. The JMA defined 'leaf colouring day' as the date when most of the leaves of *G. biloba* and *A. palmatum* were yellow or red, with no green leaves. Additionally, 'leaf fall day' was defined as the date when approximately 80% of leaves had fallen at each JMA observation station (JMA, 1985).

Many studies have focused on the growth periods (e.g. periods from leaf unfolding day to leaf fall day) of plants in response to recent climate change (e.g. Menzel & Fabian, 1999; Matsumoto *et al.*, 2003); however, other phenological periods, such as the period of leaf colouring, are still unknown. Thus, we calculated 'leaf fall periods' (unit: days) from leaf colouring and leaf fall day (Julian date) at each site as follows:

leaf fall periods (in days) = leaf fall day – leaf colouring day

We selected JMA stations across Japan based on two criteria: consistent data collection must have occurred over 25 years and the altitude must be lower than 300 m above sea level. These constraints limited the effect of air temperature due to altitudinal differences (Kaneko, 1965). By preliminary analysis, we analysed four stations over 300 m (altitude 418–610 m), and the phenological responses were different from those of stations at similar latitude but lower altitude. Consequently, 63 JMA station records were selected for *G. biloba* and 64 for *A. palmatum* (*G. biloba* 31.3–43.0 N, 128.5–141.6 E, altitude 1.6–155 m). Fifty-two stations were selected for *G. biloba* were the same as those used by Matsumoto *et al.* (2003).

#### Climate data set

The monthly mean air temperature at each JMA site was calculated for August to December from 1953 to 2005. We also used the monthly mean air temperature of the sites in spring (March to May), since increased spring temperatures can delay leaf colouring (Menzel *et al.*, 2003).

#### Statistical analysis

Phenological responses to temperature were analysed using simple linear regression of the phenological timings (leaf colouring day, leaf fall day and leaf fall period) against the mean temperatures of the following four periods: (1) in the month of the mean date of phenology (leaf colouring day, leaf fall day and starting date of leaf fall period), (2) in the month and the preceding month, (3) in the month and the preceding 2 months, and (4) in spring (March to May). We tested the Pearson correlation coefficients and linear regression slopes of the relationships by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA, factors; four periods) and Tukey multiple comparison. To estimate the latitudinal patterns of phenological responses, we used single linear, exponential, logarithmic and quadratic regression models. The best model was selected based on the Akaike information criterion (AIC) values.

To analyse the effects of latitude, time (year) and their interaction on the responses of phenological timing (leaf colouring day, leaf fall day and leaf fall period), generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) were run by the statistical software program R version 2.5.1 (R Development Core Team, 2007) using the lmer function with a Gaussian distribution of the lme4 library. The model fit was calculated using maximum likelihood methods. The explanatory variables – latitude and year – were mean centred and the site number assigned to each JMA observation station was included as a random effect in the GLMMs. Models with and without the interaction term of latitude and year were compared based on AIC values to determine whether the effect of the interaction was supported by the data.

#### RESULTS

#### Latitudinal patterns in climate and leaf phenology

Air temperature was significantly correlated with latitude across Japan. From 1953 to 2005, the mean temperature from August to



**Figure 1** Latitudinal trends in regression slope ( C per decade) between air temperature from October to December and time from 1953 to 2005 at the sites for *Ginkgo biloba* and *Acer palmatum*.

December for the *G. biloba* sites ranged from 10.7 to 20.5 C, and those for the *A. palmatum* stations ranged from 8.7 to 20.5 C. The mean air temperature in autumn over the observed period decreased significantly with latitude (r = -0.90 for *A. palmatum* and -0.92 for *G. biloba*, P < 0.001). Spring mean air temperatures (March to June) varied among the stations for *G. biloba* and *A. palmatum* (13.3–22.0 C and 10.6–22.0 C, respectively), and significantly decreased with latitude (r = -0.94 and -0.96, respectively, P < 0.001, data not shown). The mean regression slopes of the autumn temperature against time at the *G. biloba* and *A. palmatum* stations were positive:  $0.22 \pm 0.08$  C and  $0.20 \pm 0.08$  C per decade, respectively. At lower latitudes, the regression slope of air temperature in autumn tended to be higher than those at higher latitudes (Fig. 1).

Mean leaf colouring and leaf fall day in autumn from 1953 to 2005 correlated significantly with latitude (r = -0.72 to -0.85, P < 0.001, Fig. 2). Among stations at lower latitudes, the leaf colouring day and leaf fall day tended to differ slightly, while they were appreciably different at stations at higher latitudes. The mean leaf fall periods from 1953 to 2005 at each station tended to decrease with latitude (r = -0.20, P = 0.2, for *G. biloba* and r = -0.40, P < 0.01, for *A. palmatum*, Fig. 2).

The effects of latitude, year and their interaction on the responses of phenological timing were estimated by GLMMs (Table 1). The model with the interaction term of latitude and year was selected as the best model for the leaf colouring day and leaf fall day of both *A. palmatum* and *G. biloba*. These results suggested that the phenological timing of colouring and fall of *G. biloba* and *A. palmatum* should differ with latitude. For example, the leaf colouring day of *G. biloba* was delayed for 0.16 days per decade with decreasing latitude, in addition to the delay of 2.6 days per decade regardless of the latitude.



Figure 2 Mean date of leaf colouring (closed circles), fall (open circles) and fall period (open triangles) for *Acer palmatum* and *Ginkgo biloba* from 1953 to 2005. Dates are shown as Julian days (1 January = 1). The *r* values are shown as Pearson's correlation coefficient (all *P* values < 0.05).

# Relationships between leaf phenology and air temperature

Among the four periods of mean air temperature, the correlation and regression slopes between leaf colouring and leaf fall and mean temperature in the month of the mean date of phenology and the two preceding months were significantly higher than those in the other three periods, except those of leaf colouring of *G. biloba* (ANOVA, F = 130.5, P < 0.001, and Tukey multiple comparison, P < 0.05, Table 2). With air temperature in spring, regression slopes for leaf colouring and leaf fall day of *G. biloba* and *A. palmatum* were positive at most stations, but they were not significant at 32–42 sites. The results showed that spring temperature did not strongly influence leaf phenology. In fact, the leaf phenology of both trees was considered to be correlated mainly with autumn air temperature (Matsumoto & Fukuoka, 2002).

# Latitudinal pattern of changes in the leaf phenological response

The regression slopes for leaf colouring day of *G. biloba* and *A. palmatum* against air temperature in the month of the mean

| Species       | Phenology   | Coefficients |        |                 |           |        |  |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|--------|--|
|               |             | Latitude     | Year   | Latitude × year | Intercept | AIC    |  |
| Ginkgo biloba | Colouring   | -2.790       | 0.259  | -0.016          | 317.6     | 18,603 |  |
|               |             | -2.798       | 0.257  |                 | 317.6     | 18,612 |  |
|               | Fall        | -3.010       | 0.168  | -0.009          | 331.5     | 17,867 |  |
|               |             | -3.019       | 0.167  |                 | 331.5     | 17,870 |  |
|               | Fall period | -0.250       | -0.093 | 0.009           | 13.7      | 16,476 |  |
|               |             | -0.243       | -0.093 |                 | 13.7      | 16,480 |  |
| Acer palmatum | Colouring   | -3.620       | 0.330  | -0.012          | 320.7     | 20,018 |  |
|               |             | -3.620       | 0.330  |                 | 320.7     | 20,029 |  |
|               | Fall        | -4.090       | 0.206  | -0.012          | 337.8     | 19,176 |  |
|               |             | -4.100       | 0.206  |                 | 337.8     | 19,184 |  |
|               | Fall period | -0.449       | -0.138 | 0.002           | 16.7      | 17,714 |  |
|               |             | -0.448       | -0.138 |                 | 16.7      | 17,712 |  |

**Table 1** Generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) to estimate the effects of latitude, year and their interactions on phenological trends.

 The lowest Akaike information criterion (AIC) value per model is given in bold.

**Table 2** Mean correlation coefficients and regression slope (mean  $\pm 1$  SD) between leaf phenology and mean air temperature. Mean air temperature was represented by monthly mean temperature in the month of mean date of phenology (leaf colouring day, leaf fall day and starting date of leaf fall period), in the month and a preceding month, in the month and two preceding months, and in spring (March to May). Bold numbers indicate the highest significant values in Tukey multiple comparisons (P < 0.05).

|                  |                            | Leaf colouring                    | Leaf fall                         | Fall period      |
|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| Correlation      |                            |                                   |                                   |                  |
| Ginkgo biloba    | Month of mean phenology    | $0.32 \pm 0.17$                   | $0.27\pm0.16$                     | $-0.02\pm0.18$   |
|                  | Month + preceding month    | $\textbf{0.42}\pm\textbf{0.15}$   | $0.34\pm0.16$                     | $-0.08\pm0.17$   |
|                  | Month + preceding 2 months | $\textbf{0.42}\pm\textbf{0.16}$   | $\textbf{0.44} \pm \textbf{0.17}$ | $-0.05 \pm 0.19$ |
|                  | Spring (March–May)         | $0.28\pm0.18$                     | $0.26\pm0.19$                     | $-0.09\pm0.18$   |
| Acer palmatum    | Month of mean phenology    | $0.37 \pm 0.13$                   | $0.20\pm0.15$                     | $-0.06 \pm 0.19$ |
|                  | Month + preceding month    | $0.38 \pm 0.11$                   | $0.30\pm0.18$                     | $-0.10 \pm 0.20$ |
|                  | Month + preceding 2 months | $\textbf{0.47} \pm \textbf{0.13}$ | $\textbf{0.42}\pm\textbf{0.19}$   | $-0.10\pm0.19$   |
|                  | Spring (March–May)         | $0.27\pm0.18$                     | $0.23\pm0.20$                     | $-0.09\pm0.19$   |
| Regression slope |                            |                                   |                                   |                  |
| Ginkgo biloba    | Month of mean phenology    | $2.26 \pm 1.39$                   | $1.59 \pm 1.05$                   | $-0.14 \pm 0.77$ |
|                  | Month + preceding month    | $3.73 \pm 1.72$                   | $2.34 \pm 1.24$                   | $-0.40\pm0.86$   |
|                  | Month + preceding 2 months | $\textbf{4.27} \pm \textbf{2.04}$ | $\textbf{3.76} \pm \textbf{1.89}$ | $-0.40\pm1.45$   |
|                  | Spring (March–May)         | $3.45 \pm 2.37$                   | $2.65\pm2.09$                     | $-0.82 \pm 1.45$ |
| Acer palmatum    | Month of mean phenology    | $2.97 \pm 1.45$                   | $1.46 \pm 1.26$                   | $-0.41\pm1.09$   |
|                  | Month + preceding month    | $3.71 \pm 1.68$                   | $2.56 \pm 1.83$                   | $-0.90\pm1.67$   |
|                  | Month + preceding 2 months | $\textbf{5.28} \pm \textbf{2.21}$ | $4.35\pm2.41$                     | $-0.88 \pm 1.62$ |
|                  | Spring (March–May)         | $3.84\pm2.91$                     | $2.86\pm2.76$                     | $-0.90 \pm 1.83$ |

date of phenology and the two preceding months decreased significantly with latitude (r = -0.46 for *G. biloba* and r = -0.34 for *A. palmatum*, P < 0.05, Fig. 3). For leaf fall day, the regression slopes for *G. biloba* and *A. palmatum* with air temperature decreased significantly with latitude (r = -0.44 for the exponential model and r = -0.36 for the linear model, respectively, P < 0.05). Except for 5–10 sites, leaf colouring day and leaf fall day correlated with air temperature (P < 0.05). At lower latitudes, the regression slopes for leaf colouring day and leaf fall day varied, while at

higher latitudes the variability of the slopes was small. For leaf fall periods, the regression slopes for *G. biloba* and *A. palmatum* with air temperature were not significantly related to latitude (r = 0.13 and r = 0.01, P > 0.1, Fig. 3).

#### DISCUSSION

In this study, we identified negative relationships between leaf phenological responsiveness and latitude. The leaf phenological



**Figure 3** Latitudinal patterns of regression slope (days per C) between leaf phenological event (leaf colouring, fall and fall periods) for *Ginkgo biloba* and *Acer palmatum* and air temperature in the month of mean phenology and two preceding months from 1953 to 2005. Black and grey circles indicate the results of regression analysis; P < 0.05 and P > 0.05, respectively. The regression lines shown for leaf colouring and leaf fall represent slope as an exponential function of latitude. \*, \*\* and no asterisk indicate P < 0.05, P < 0.01, and P > 0.05, respectively.

responses at lower latitudes were larger than those at higher latitudes. Menzel *et al.* (2006a) also suggested that the phenological response of plants to temperature in warmer countries was stronger than that found in colder countries, although Root *et al.* (2003) have suggested the opposite. Thus, our findings support the hypothesis of Menzel *et al.* (2006a). Root *et al.* (2003) compared the phenological response between 50 and 72 N and 32 and 50 N. Most study sites (31–44 N) were between 32 and 50 N; however, such latitudinal variation in Japan makes a difference to the phenological response of plants. These findings will be important in predicting changes in phenological timing in response to global climate change.

The phenological responses of leaves of both G. biloba and A. palmatum to air temperature were positive at most stations. Warmer temperatures might delay the timing of leaf phenology. The phenological response of leaves to air temperature at lower latitudes was larger than that at higher latitudes. Latitudinal patterns in temperature may cause latitudinal patterns in phenological responses of leaves. Air temperature at lower latitudes has increased more than at higher latitudes over the past five decades in Japan. Thus, differing changes in temperature between latitudes may cause latitudinal patterns in the responses of leaves to temperature. Day length is also different at different latitudes (Forsythe et al., 1995) and affects plant phenology (e.g. Nizinski & Saugier, 1988; Schaber & Badeck, 2003). In Japan, the timing of leaf fall in of trees including Acer is affected by day length (Misawa & Takakura, 1990); however, seasonal and latitudinal patterns in day length have not changed during the last five decades. Therefore we did not consider day length to be a factor that would change the latitudinal patterns of the phenological response in the last five decades.

To summarize, the present study showed that latitudinal patterns in the responses of leaf colouring and leaf fall phenology correlate with air temperature. The phenological responses at lower latitudes were stronger than those at higher latitudes. Our findings indicate that we should consider phenological responses to differ with regard to latitude and climate change.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We sincerely thank the Japan Meteorological Agency who collected the long-term phenological and climate data. We thank A. Fremier for helpful comments on an early manuscript. This research was supported by a Japan Society for the Promotion of Science grant to H. Doi.

## REFERENCES

- Chen, X.Q. & Pan, W.F. (2002) Relationships among phenological growing season, time-integrated normalized difference vegetation index and climate forcing in the temperate region of eastern China. *International Journal of Climatology*, **22**, 1781–1792.
- Doi, H. (2007) Winter flowering phenology of Japanese apricot *Prunus mume* reflects climate change across Japan. *Climate Research*, **34**, 99–104.
- Doi, H. & Katano, I. (2008) Phenological timings of leaf budburst with climate change in Japan. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 148, 512–516.
- Doi, H., Gordo, O. & Katano, I (2008) Heterogeneous intraannual climatic changes drive different phenological responses in two trophic levels. *Climate Research*, in press.
- Estrella, N. & Menzel, A. (2006) Responses of leaf colouring in four deciduous tree species to climate and weather in Germany. *Climate Research*, **32**, 253–267.
- Forsythe, W.C., Rykiel, E.J. Jr., Stahl, R.S., Wu, H. & Schoolfield, R.M. (1995) A model comparison for daylength as a function of latitude and day of year. *Ecological Modelling*, **80**, 87–95.
- Gordo, O. & Sanz, J.J. (2005) Phenology and climate change: a long-term study in a Mediterranean locality. *Oecologia*, **146**, 484–495.
- JMA (1985) *Guidelines for the observation of phenology*, 3rd edn. Japan Meteorological Agency, Tokyo (in Japanese).
- Kaneko, T. (1965) Altitudinal changes in the leaf activities of trees on Mt. Hakkoda. *Ecological Review*, **16**, 181–187.

- Matsumoto, F. & Fukuoka, Y. (2002) The relationship between climate and plant phenology in Kumagaya city (1) in the case of leafcolor-change date of *Ginkgo biloba* and *Acer palmatum*. *Japanese Journal of Biometeorology*, **39**, 3–16. (in Japanese).
- Matsumoto, K., Ohta, T., Irasawa, M. & Nakamura, T. (2003) Climate change and extension of the *Ginkgo biloba* L. growing season in Japan. *Global Change Biology*, **9**, 1634–1642.
- Menzel, A. (2003) Plant phenological anomalies in Germany and their relation to air temperature and NAO. *Climatic Change*, **57**, 243–263.
- Menzel, A. & Fabian, P. (1999) Growing season extended in Europe. *Nature*, **397**, 659.
- Menzel, A., Estrella, N. & Fabian, P. (2001) Spatial and temporal variability of the phenological seasons in Germany from 1951 to 1996. *Global Change Biology*, **7**, 657–666.
- Menzel, A., Jakobi, G., Ahas, R., Scheifinger, H. & Estrella, N. (2003) Variations of the climatological growing season (1951–2000) in Germany compared with other countries. *International Journal of Climatology*, 23, 793–812.
- Menzel, A., Sparks, T.H., Estrella, N., Koch, E., Aasa, A., Ahas, R., Alm-Kübler, K., Bissolli, P., Braslavská, O., Briede, A., Chmielewski, F.M., Crepinsek, Z., Curnel, Y., Dahl, Å., Defila, C., Donnelly, A., Filella, Y., Jatczak, K., Måge, F., Mestre, A., Nordli, Ø., Peñuelas, J., Pirinen, P., Remišová, V., Scheifinger, H., Striz, M., Susnik A., Van Vliet, A.J.H., Wielgolaski, F.E., Zach, S. & Zust, A. (2006a) European phenological response to climate change matches the warming pattern. *Global Change Biology*, **12**, 1969–1976.
- Menzel, A., Sparks, T.H., Estrella, N. & Roy, D.B. (2006b) Altered geographic and temporal variability in phenology in response to climate change. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **15**, 498–504.
- Misawa, A. & Takakura H. (1990) Effect of street lighting on the time of leaf fall of roadside trees. *Journal of the Japanese Institute of Landscape Architecture*, **53**, 127–132.
- Nizinski, J.J. & Saugier, B. (1988) A model of leaf budding and development for a mature *Quercus* forest. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **25**, 643–652.
- Parmesan, C. & Yohe, G. (2003) A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature*, **421**, 37–42.
- Peñuelas, J., Filella, I. & Comas, P. (2002) Changed plant and animal life cycles from 1952 to 2000 in the Mediterranean region. *Global Change Biology*, 8, 531–544.

- R Development Core Team (2007) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Richardson, A.D., Bailey, A.S., Denny, E.G., Martin, C.W. & Keefe, J.O. (2006) Phenology of a northern hardwood forest canopy. *Global Change Biology*, **12**, 1174–1188.
- Root, T.L., Price, J.T., Hall, K.R., Schneider, S.H., Rosenzweig, C. & Pounds, J.A. (2003) Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and plants. *Nature*, **421**, 57–60.
- Schaber, J & Badeck, F. (2003) Physiology-based phenology models for forest tree species in Germany. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, **47**, 193–201.
- Walther, G.R., Post, E., Convey, P., Menzel, A., Parmesan, C., Beebee T.J.C., Fromentin, J.M., Hoegh-Guldberg, O. & Bairlein, F. (2002) Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature*, **416**, 389–395.
- Wolfe, D.W., Schwartz, M.D., Lakso, A.N., Otsuki, Y., Pool, R.M. & Shaulis, N.J. (2005) Climate change and shifts in spring phenology of three horticultural woody perennials in northeastern USA. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 49, 303–309.

## BIOSKETCHES

**Hideyuki Doi** is a post-doc at Ehime University. His broad interests are the spatial and temporal dynamics of communities and food webs in aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. Recently, his research interests have been the impacts of climate change on plant and animal communities, especially the phenological responses and population dynamics of organisms in Japan.

**Mayumi Takahashi** is a PhD student at Hokkaido University. Her PhD research focuses on the downstream effects of dams on riparian tree species and their habitats. Additionally, her recent research interests have been the impacts of climate change on the phenological responses of tree species and their habitats in Japan.

Editor: Martin Sykes